



## Canadian Institute of Nuclear Physics Institut canadien de physique nucléaire

### Board of Directors Meeting TeleConference 3:00PM CDT, November 24, 2010

**Present:** Kumar Sharma (Chair, Manitoba), Jean Barrette (McGill), Garth Huber (Regina), Roby Austin (St. Mary's), Jens Dilling (TRIUMF)-arrived late.

**Absent:** Paul Garrett (Guelph)

#### Minutes (taken by GH):

1. Approval of Agenda as circulated by KS. - Austin/Barrette
2. Approval of Minutes of the meeting of October 27, 2010. - Huber/Barrette
3. Chair's Report:
  1. CAP Plenary Lecture.
    - o KS still waiting to hear from Mike Roney (CAP Program Chair) re. scheduling of plenary session in CAP Congress. Apparently, they are considering to reduce the number of plenary sessions, in which case they would not be able to accommodate our speaker.
    - o KS will wait for confirmation from Mike Roney before contacting Karl Heinz Langacker.
  2. Executive Director Search.
    - o Draft advertisement circulated by GH was discussed and found to be generally acceptable.
    - o Discussion about the search procedure:
      - Need to phrase advertisement in such a way that the person sends an expression of interest to CINP contact person, and if suitable the person will be invited to submit a more formal application.
      - Roby Austin agreed to be this contact person.
      - Need the search process to be relatively open-ended, to assure that a good person is found for the position. Will add to advertisement that the position will remain open until filled but that we will start considering applications on March 1, 2011.
    - o Also need to post the ED Job Description on the CINP website. GH will modify the job description to better distinguish the possible additional duties assigned by the Board from those designated in the bylaws.
    - o Need to distribute the advertisement to PiC, CINP members, Department Heads & TRIUMF.
    - o GH will make these modifications and recirculate to the Board.
    - o **MOTION: Huber/Austin**  
**Executive Director job description and advertisement are approved and finalized, pending minor modifications discussed in the meeting.**
  3. HEP Working Group re. Associate Membership of Canada at CERN.
    - o KS provided an update on the November 1 phone meeting.

- o Annual membership fee is estimated to be \$14M/yr, with the source for these funds not yet identified. These would be comparable to the full member fees for Serbia, with presumably a comparable level of influence on CERN affairs.
- o Canadian rep to ESA was positive, sees Canadian participation in a high profile international project as beneficial. However, unlike the ESA situation, where Canadian participation is lobbied for primarily by the industrial partners expecting to obtain contracts, there are no industrial partners here.
- o TRIUMF (represented by Nigel Lockyer) in favor, expects CERN to help with ISAC ion source development, although KS somewhat skeptical that this has anything to do with Associate Member status given there is already a TRIUMF/CERN MOU covering this activity.
- o SNOlab in favor, did not think this would have any effect on their future financing.
- o IPP opinion, as presented by W. Trischuk, is overwhelmingly favorable. As expected, the view is very ATLAS-centric. Some IPP members fear that use could be restricted to only paying users in the future, although this is at odds with the refusal of USA and Japan to consider Associate Membership status. Also feel that CERN will play a major role if ILC/CLIC goes ahead and Associate Member status would help Canada gain contracts.
- o JD adds that MacDougal (President of NRC) visited CERN along with Trischuk and Rob MacPherson (ATLAS Canada Chair). The tour agenda would have been exclusively ATLAS, with a large contingent of Canadian grad students shown in the tour, etc. It was only after suggestions from JD and others that Isolde was added to the tour.
- o CINP Brief presented by KS was the only dissenting voice at the meeting. KS reports that the tone of the meeting was respectful and he thought it was helpful for a thoughtful discussion to not have unanimity.
- o JD reports that Nigel Lockyer was critical of the CINP stance in private discussions afterward. JD suggests that we invite Nigel to discuss this issue in more detail with us at a future Board meeting. At minimum, it seems unlikely that Nigel's opinion was formed after much consultation within the TRIUMF scientific staff.

#### 4. LRPC.

- o KS still putting together slides for LRPC meeting in Toronto on Nov 28-29, based on draft slides received from the SWG chairs.
- o The general concern is that there are too many slides and go into too much detail. JB comments that need to avoid a laundry list of projects and need to concentrate on the major physics goals and how the proposed program addresses them.
- o To compare: DNP presentation at McGill town hall meeting 5 years ago was only 48 slides.

#### 4. Other Business:

- Membership:
  - o No report. Still need JB to write a new membership cover letter for the website.
- Finance:
  - o No report.

#### 5. Next Meeting:

- GH will circulate a Doodle Poll for a meeting in the last week of January.